

Evidence pack — one governed change.

What your examiner, auditor, or security reviewer receives when they ask about a change an AI agent built. Generated by the system at the moment of the work — not compiled by a team after the letter arrived. This sample walks one fixture change, end to end: **CHG-2417**, a workers'-compensation rating update at a fictional MGA.

SAMPLE — FIXTURE DATA. Meridian Casualty MGA, Dana Reyes, and every identifier in this document are fictional demonstration data. No customer data appears in this pack. The shape of the record is the point.

01 The operation receipt — every step the AI took, and who signed off	p. 2
02 Policy conformance detail — each gate, and the policy revision it ran against	p. 2
03 Approval record & seal — the human name, the why, the tamper-evident chain	p. 3
04 How to verify — what to ask of any vendor who claims this	p. 3

The four guarantees

APPEND-ONLY Each record seals with a hash chained to the one before it. A gap or an edit is visible by construction.	FROZEN REFERENCES Code, prompts, and context are referenced by content — the exact line that ran, years later.
THE HUMAN GATE No state change without a recorded approval: a person, a role, a moment, and the why.	PORTABLE Open, queryable formats that outlive any vendor. Format spec: haltere.ai/receipt-spec

Exhibit 01 – the operation receipt

HALTERE · OPERATION RECEIPT · CHG-2417 · SEALED 2026-07-24T14:14:09Z	
request	CHG-2417 · workers'-comp rating change · Meridian Casualty MGA · from work order WO-0093, scope: rating.client-config.write
retrieved	program WC-MGA-2024 · config v7 @ e41c9b · filed rate FR-TX-2024-118 (band 1.38-1.61) · last 6 changes, with receipts
drafted	claude-opus · prompt rating_change_v4 @a3f9c2 (rendered prompt on record) · confidence 0.96 · alternative 1.52 rejected: experience-mod tolerance
checked	✓ stay-in-scope · ✓ core-boundary · ✓ filed-rate-conformance – detail in Exhibit 02
the change	loss-cost multiplier 1.42 → 1.55 (+9%) · within the filed band · hazard group C unchanged
approved	Dana Reyes · delivery lead · Jul 24, 9:14 AM CT · why on record – Exhibit 03
sealed	rating_rules v7 → v8 · event emitted · pack: security review · DOI exam evidence · AI-controls matrix

the query: receipts WHERE change = 'CHG-2417' – this page is what comes back. One lookup, no reconstruction.

Exhibit 02 – policy conformance detail

STAY-IN-SCOPE · POLICY SCOPE.YML @ R14 · PASS
The change touched rating_rules only — the single write surface granted by work order WO-0093. Files outside the grant were not readable, let alone writable, during the run.
CORE-BOUNDARY · POLICY BOUNDARIES.YML @ R11 · PASS
No writes crossed the rating domain's boundary. Cross-domain effects, had any been attempted, fail the build before review is requested.
FILED-RATE-CONFORMANCE · POLICY RATES.YML @ R9 · PASS
Proposed multiplier 1.55 falls inside the filed band 1.38-1.61 from FR-TX-2024-118. A value outside the band fails at this gate — no human is asked, nothing merges, and the refusal itself is receipted.

Exhibit 03 – approval record & seal

APPROVAL · DURABLE · SURVIVES RESTARTS, REDEPLOYS, YEARS	
approver	Dana Reyes · role: delivery-lead · authority verified at the moment of approval
moment	2026-07-24 · 9:14 AM CT
the why	“loss-cost multiplier +9%, within the filed band; hazard group C unchanged” – verbatim, on the record
seal	content hash 9d41f2... · chained to previous receipt c07aa1... · append-only – an edited history is visible by construction

Exhibit 04 – how to verify a record like this

Whether you’re examining us or any vendor claiming provable AI, the same five questions separate a record from a diary:

- 1 · ASK FOR ONE CHANGE, BY NUMBER

Can they produce every field on page 2 for a single change — in minutes, from a query — or does the answer require people assembling documents?
- 2 · ASK FOR THE PROMPT

Not a description of it — the exact rendered instruction, at its own version, as the model received it.
- 3 · ASK FOR THE CODE THAT RAN

A frozen reference to the exact commit and line — not the file as it looks today.
- 4 · ASK WHAT HAPPENS ON FAILURE

A blocked change should leave a receipt too. “We would have caught it” is not evidence; a refusal on the record is.
- 5 · ASK WHO APPROVED — AND WHY

A human name, with authority, with reasoning, that survives the person leaving the company.

The format is public: haltere.ai/receipt-spec · Questions: haltere.ai (request a pilot – a founder replies same-day)